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Decision-making under deep uncertainty

1. **Decision support** – the aim of decision advise is to facilitate learning about a problem and potential courses of action, not to dictate the right solution. This entails a shift from *a priori* to *a posteriori* decision analysis.

2. **Adaptive plans** – plans should be designed from the outset to be adapted over time in response to how the future is actually unfolding.

3. **Exploratory scenario thinking** – the future is uncertain and cannot be probabilistically constrained, we need systematic what-if analysis of the future which serves as a test bed for candidate strategies.
Decision Support

Decision aiding can be defined as the activity of one who, in ways we call scientific, helps to obtain elements of answers to questions helping to clarify a candidate decision with the aim to increase coherence of actual decisions and goals and/or systems of values

Constructive

co-construct problem and solutions at the same time through joint sense making

The analyst learns about the client’s problem, and the client learns about the formal representation of his problem

Consent / consensus

Tsoukias (2008) 10.1016/j.ejor.2007.02.039
Decision Support

Premature aggregation is at the root of all evil

Aggregations

• Over states of the world $\rightarrow$ expected value
• Over objectives $\rightarrow$ MCDA / CBA
• Over actors $\rightarrow$ social planner, GDP
• Over time $\rightarrow$ Discounting
• Over space $\rightarrow$ Risk transfers

• Aggregation is a loss of information $\rightarrow$ decision myopia
• Aggregations are theoretically problematic (e.g. Arrow’s impossibility theorem)
• Aggregations are a source for contestation
Adaptive Plans

Protective adaptivity

Protect a basic plan against vulnerabilities through contingency planning and monitoring

Examples: adaptive policy making, assumption based planning, robust decision making

Dynamic adaptivity

Transform system functioning through sequencing of actions over time and careful monitoring

Examples: adaptive pathways, adaptive management
Protective adaptivity
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Exploratory scenario thinking

Decision support processes that employ scenarios, as opposed to probabilistic forecasts, to characterize deep uncertainty will help decisionmakers consider a wider range of futures and attributes, and this broader vantage will encourage the choice of more robust options that perform reasonably well in a wide range of futures.
Why use models?

Argument from complexity
Because the system of interest is often complex, there is a need to supplement human reasoning.
Complex systems are sensitive to initial conditions (both parameters and structure).

Argument from uncertainty
When confronted with uncertainty, instead of making an assumption, explore systematically the consequences of alternative assumptions in order to identify differences that make a difference.
from **Predict** and **Act**
to **Explore** and **Adapt**

from *predict* to *explore*
- Scenario discovery (Bryant & Lempert 2010)
- Robust multi-objective optimization (Kwakkel et al. 2015)
- Info-Gap decision theory (Ben Haim, 2001; Hall et al. 2012)
- Adaptation tipping points (Kwadijk et al 2010)
- Decision scaling (Brown et al. 2012; LeRoy Poff et al. 2015)

from *act* to *adapt*
- Assumption-Based Planning (Dewar et al.1993)
- Adaptive Policymaking (Kwakkel et al 2010)
- Dynamic Adaptive Policy Pathways (Haasnoot et al. 2013)
- Robust Decision Making (Lempert & Collins 2007)
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Looking forward

Canonical approaches (e.g. RDM, MORDM, DAPP) are recipes. Recipes are great if you are learning to cook, but once mastered you can creatively recombine them as well as adapt them to your taste, skill, and what is available.

Research is needed to understand better what ingredients are used in the various recipes, which ingredients go well together, and how ingredients align with the specific context.